



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/049,271

02/06/2002

Gabriel Daemon Engel

PURE-P012US

7734

41066

7590

10/15/2008

MURABITO, HAO & BARNES, LLP
TWO NORTH MARKET STREET, THIRD FLOOR
SAN JOSE, CA 95113

EXAMINER

VAUGHN, GREGORY J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2178

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

10/15/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/049,271	Applicant(s) ENGEL ET AL.	
	Examiner GREGORY J. VAUGHN	Art Unit 2178	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) GREGORY J. VAUGHN. (3) Tony Murabito (applicant's representative).

(2) Bryan Failing (applicant's representative). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 08 October 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Sadovnik et al., 5,764,317; McGarry, 6,859,907 and Reamey, 5,113,272.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The examiner and applicant's representatives discussed the claimed invention in light of the cited prior art of record. Applicant's representatives proposed amendments to the claims. Agreement with respect to the claims was not reached.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Gregory J. Vaughn/ Examiner, Art Unit 2178	
--	--